

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,570	08/22/2003	Shori Mokuo	33082M170	3867
	7590 06/21/2007		EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			PATEL, RITA RAMESH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1746		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/645,570		MOKUO, SHORI	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Rita R. Patel	1746	

	Rita R. Patel	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	••	alonation of a section of the section				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			tne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		P . A	(576) 66 ()			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Marak Blad a constant				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 3.	t before or on the date of filing a Ne	otice of Appeal will be	at he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
PRIMARY EXAMINED						

M. KOPNA 1001 06/18/07 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment of canceling claim 4 and incorporating the claim limitations of claim 4 to claim 2 raises new issues, specifically the scope of dependent claims 3, 7-15, and 18 have been changed due to the amendment made and would thus necessitate further consideration and/or search. Moreover, this amendment potentially presents written description requirement issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: this request relies on an amendment which has not been entered.